#66

COMPLETE

Collector: 2017 BPP CONSU...QUESTIONNAIRE (Web Link)

Started: Thursday, December 14, 2017 9:49:46 PM Last Modified: Thursday, December 14, 2017 10:56:53 PM

Time Spent: 01:07:06 **IP Address:** 96.83.102.85

Page 2: Information on Respondent

Q1 Name of Organisation

Council of Institutional Investors

Q2 Type of organisation [select one]: Representative body

Q3 Main country / region of operation

United States

Q4 Are you currently a client of a voting research provider? [Yes/ No]

Yes

Q5 All responses will be posted on the Review website unless requested otherwise. Please indicate below if you wish your comments to be treated as confidential.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 If you would like to be informed of the outcome of this consultation please provide a contact email.

jeff@cii.org

Page 3: General questions on the Principles

Q7 Were you previously aware of the Best Practice Principles? [Yes/No]

Yes

Q8 If yes, how would you rate the positive impact of the Principles since they were introduced in 2014? [Scale of 0-5 where 0 is no impact, 5 is very positive]

3,

Please give a reason for your rating:

The broader awareness of Principles in the U.S. might enhance their positive impact.

Q9 If you are a user of voting research services, do you, or will you in future check whether a service provider had signed up to the Principles before appointing them? [Yes/No]

Respondent skipped this question

Q10 Would it be beneficial to have a set of principles that are capable of being applied in all markets? [Yes/No]	Yes
Page 4: Scope and Structure of the Principles Q11 At present the Principles address three areas: service quality (which includes duties to clients, research methodology and voting policy); managing conflicts of interest; and communications with issuers, the media and other stakeholders (see the BPPG website here). Are there other issues or activities that should also be covered by the Principles [tick each that applies]	Governance engagement services
Q12 Each Principle is accompanied by guidance which sets out practices to be followed and information to be disclosed, on a "comply and explain" basis. Is this structure clear and appropriate? [Yes/No]	Yes
Q13 If no, how might it be improved?	Respondent skipped this question
Page 5: The Content of the Principles (1: Service quality of the Princ	ality) Respondent skipped this question
Q15 If no, how might it be improved?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16 Depending on the wishes of their individual clients, those signatories that make voting recommendations will follow either bespoke or house voting policies. How satisfied are you with the process used by signatories to develop their house voting policies? [Scale 0 to 5, where 0 is dissatisfied and 5 is very satisfied]	Respondent skipped this question
Q17 How might the process be improved?	Respondent skipped this question
Q18 In addition to national law and listing rules, which, if any of these considerations should signatories take into account when deciding whether to adjust their house policies for different markets? [Tick all that apply]	Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How informative are signatories' descriptions of Respondent skipped this question their research methodologies (see BPPG website here), including how they ensure that the research is reliable? [Scale 0 to 5, where 0 is uninformative and 5 is very informative] **Q20** While recognising the need for signatories to Respondent skipped this question protect their intellectual property, how might the statements be made more informative? Page 6: The Content of the Principles (2: Conflicts) **Q21** The Principle does not attempt to eliminate Yes potential conflicts, but to ensure that the signatories disclose the procedures by which they are managed. Is this an adequate approach? [Yes/No] Q22 If no, how might it be strengthened? Respondent skipped this question Q23 The Principles include the following non-No exhaustive list of potential sources of conflict: Α signatory's ownership or shareholder base/structure, such as when a signatory is owned by an investor that owns shares in companies under coverage or when the investor is owned by an issuer under coverage; signatory's employee activities, such as board memberships, stock ownership, etc; Investorclient influence on the signatories, such as when an investor who is a client of the service provider is a shareholder proponent or is a dissident shareholder in Issuer-client influence on the a proxy contest; · signatories, such as when signatories provide consulting services to companies under coverage for Influence of other investor research: and. clients. Are there any others that should be included in this list? Q24 If yes, please identify them. Respondent skipped this question Q25 If you are a client of a signatory, how satisfied are Respondent skipped this question you with the information you receive on how potential conflicts are being managed? [Scale 0 to 5, where 0 is dissatisfied and 5 is very satisfied]

Page 7: The Content of the Principles (3: Communications policy)

Q26 How might procedures be improved?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How satisfied are companies with their Respondent skipped this question communication with signatories? [Scale 0 to 5, where 0 is completely dissatisfied, 5 is very satisfied] **Q28** How might communication be improved? Respondent skipped this question Q29 If you are a company, have you used the Respondent skipped this question procedures set up by one or more signatories to make a complaint or provide feedback on their research on, or engagement with, your company? Q30 If yes, how satisfied were you with how your Respondent skipped this question complaint was handled? [Scale 0-5 where 0 is not at all satisfied, 5 is very satisfied] Q31 Many companies consider they should have the Other (please opportunity to comment on the analysis and specify): recommendations in research reports before they are We are not an investor, but many of our investor members finalised. If you are an investor, which of these have indicated that it is not appropriate for companies to statements most closely reflects your view? [Tick one have a right to review or comment on draft research only] reports of which they are the subject. Other members have indicated that they have no objection in principle to the practice, as long as it does not reduce the amount of time they have to make voting decisions. Page 8: Reporting on the Principles Q32 At present, signatories are required to produce a Yes public statement on how they have applied the Principles, which they update as necessary; some have chosen to update the statement every year. Signatories also produce a summary in a standard format for purposes of comparison (see BPPG website here). Do the statements adequately cover all the matters that signatories are supposed to report on under the Principles? [Yes/No] Q33 If no, please identify which matters are not Respondent skipped this question adequately reported on Q34 How informative and useful are the statements? Respondent skipped this question [Scale 0-5 where 0 is uninformative, 5 is very informative] Q35 How might the statements be made more useful? Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Monitoring the Application of the Principles

Q36 As part of this review, the BPP Group intends to Oversight body including members independent of the introduce an independent element into the monitoring sector arrangements. Which of the following features should be part of the arrangements for monitoring the Surveys of market implementation and impact of the Principles? [tick all that apply] participants Third party certification of how the Principles have been implemented by signatories Q37 If you have specific suggestions for how the Respondent skipped this question Principles should be monitored, please provide details Q38 Have you ever used the complaints procedure to No complain about a breach of the Principles (see BPPG website here) [Yes/No] Q39 If yes, how satisfied were you with how your Respondent skipped this question complaint was handled? [Scale 0-5 where 0 is not at all satisfied, 5 is very satisfied] Page 10: Signing-Up Process Q40 The process of signing up to the Principles is No being looked at as part of this review. Other than a commitment to apply and report on the Principles and to be subject to the monitoring arrangements, are there other criteria that service providers should have to meet in order to be accepted as signatories? [Yes/No] Q41 If yes, please specify Respondent skipped this question Page 11: Other comments Q42 If there are any additional comments you would Respondent skipped this question like to make as part of this consultation, please do so

here: