2017 BPP CONSULTATION: QUESTIONNAIRE Best Practice Principles for Shareholder Voting Research "BPP"

Introduction

Thank you in advance for your valuable feedback on the <u>Best Practice Principles (BPP)</u>. The closing date for comments is **15 December 2017.** There are two ways in which you can submit your views:

- By completing this online survey (15 minutes participation time); or
- By submitting comments in writing please see all details on this webpage https://bppgrp.info/2017-consultation/.

All responses will be published on the website at the end of consultation period, unless respondents specifically request that they be treated as confidential.

Name of Organisation

2. Type of organisation [select one]:	
Investor	
Company	
Governance advisory / voting research service (investor advisors)	
Company advisor	
Representative body	
Other (please specify)	
3. Main country / region of operation	
4. Are you currently a client of a voting research provider? [Yes/ No]	
•	
5. All responses will be posted on the Review website unless requested otherwise.	
Please indicate below if you wish your comments to be treated as confidential.	
Please treat comments as confidential	

d of the outcome of this consultation please provide a	6. If you would like to be in contact email.

General questions on the Principles		
7. Were you previously aware	of the Best Practice Principles? [Yes/No]	
\$	•	
	he positive impact of the Principles since they	were
introduced in 2014? [Scale of (0-5 where 0 is no impact, 5 is very positive]	
0 no impact	<u> </u>	
1	4	
□ 1□ 2	4 5 very positive	
12Please give a reason for your rating		

9. If you are a <u>user</u> of voting research services, do you, or will you in future check whether a service provider had signed up to the Principles before appointing them? [Yes/No]	
10. Would it be beneficial to have a set of principles that are capable of being applied in all markets? [Yes/No]	

Scope and Structure of the Principles
11. At present the Principles address three areas: service quality (which includes duties to
clients, research methodology and voting policy); managing conflicts of interest; and
communications with issuers, the media and other stakeholders (see the BPPG website
here). Are there other issues or activities that should also be covered by the Principles [tick
each that applies]
Intermediary vote processing and confirmation
ESG advisory services and indices
Governance engagement services
Other (please specify)

12. Each Principle is accompanied by guidance which sets out practices to be followed and information to be disclosed, on a "comply and explain" basis. Is this structure clear and appropriate? [Yes/No]	
13. If no, how might it be improved?	

The Content of the Principles (1: Service quality)
Principle 1: Service quality
14. If you are a <u>client</u> of one or more signatories, do you consider that this Principle deals adequately with the various service commitments that you expect? [Yes/No]
15. If no, how might it be improved?

16. Depending on the wishes of their ind	ividual clients, those signatories that make	
voting recommendations will follow either	r bespoke or house voting policies. How	
•	y signatories to develop their house voting	
policies? [Scale 0 to 5, where 0 is dissati		
· -		
0 dissatisfied	○ 3	
() 1	4	
2	5 very satisfied	
17. How might the process be improved?		
18. In addition to national law and listing	rules, which, if any of these considerations	
should signatories take into account whe	n deciding whether to adjust their house	
policies for different markets? [Tick all that	at apply]	
Standards in national corporate governance codes and equiv	/alent	
Views and practices of local companies		
Views of local and international investors		
Other (please specify)		

10. How informative are sign	atories' descriptions of their research methodologies (see
•	
<u>BPPG website here</u>), includir	ng how they ensure that the research is reliable? [Scale 0
to 5, where 0 is uninformative	e and 5 is very informative]
0 uninformative	○ 3
<u> </u>	
O 2	5 very informative

The Content of the Drive inles (2)

Conflicts)
Principle 2: Conflicts
21. The Principle does not attempt to eliminate potential conflicts, but to ensure that the signatories disclose the procedures by which they are managed. Is this an adequate approach? [Yes/No]
22. If no, how might it be strengthened?
23. The Principles include the following non-exhaustive list of potential sources of conflict:

- A signatory's ownership or shareholder base/structure, such as when a signatory is owned by an investor that owns shares in companies under coverage or when the investor is owned by an issuer under coverage;
- A signatory's employee activities, such as board memberships, stock ownership, etc;
- Investor-client influence on the signatories, such as when an investor who is a client of the service provider is a shareholder proponent or is a dissident shareholder in a proxy contest;
- Issuer-client influence on the signatories, such as when signatories provide consulting services to companies under coverage for research; and
- Influence of other investor clients.

Are there any others that should be included in this list?



24. If yes, please identify the	em.	
25. If you are a <u>client</u> of a s	ignatory, how satisfied are you with the informat	tion you
receive on how potential co dissatisfied and 5 is very sa	nflicts are being managed? [Scale 0 to 5, where tisfied]	e 0 is
0 dissatisfied	○ 3	
<u> </u>		
<u> </u>	5 very satisfied	
26. How might procedures	pe improved?	

The Content of the Principles (3: Communications policy)			
Principle 3: Communications policy			
27. How satisfied are companies with their communication with signatories? [Scale 0			
to 5, where 0 is completely dissatisfied, 5	is very satisfied]		
0 completely dissatisfied	○ 3		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>	5 very satisfied		
28. How might communication be improved?			

	used the procedures set up by one or more rovide feedback on their research on, or		
engagement with, your company?			
•			
30. If yes, how satisfied were you wit	th how your complaint was handled? [Scale 0-5		
where 0 is not at all satisfied, 5 is ve	ry satisfied]		
0 not at all satisfied	3		
1	4		
2	5 very satisfied		
Please give a reason for your rating			

31. Many companies consider they should have the opportunity to comment on the				
analysis and recommendations in research reports before they are finalised. If you are				
an <u>investor</u> , which of these statements most closely reflects your view? [Tick one only]				
I find it helpful to know the company's views on the research report before deciding how to vote, ahead of the custodian cut-off				
I have no objection in principle to this practice, as long as it does not reduce the amount of time I have to make voting decisions or impact on costs				
Companies already have opportunities to explain their case in their annual reports, the papers for the general meeting and direct engagement with their shareholders; they do not need another one				
It is not appropriate for companies to have a right to review or comment on draft research reports of which they are the subject				
Other (please specify)				

Reporting on the Principles				
32. At present, signatories are required to produce a public statement on how they have applied the Principles, which they update as necessary; some have chosen to update the statement every year. Signatories also produce a summary in a standard format for purposes of comparison (see BPPG website here).				
Do the statements adequately cover all the matters that signatories are supposed to report on under the Principles? [Yes/No]				
33. If no, please identify which matters are not adequately reported on				

34. How informative and use uninformative, 5 is very informative.	eful are the statements? [Scale 0-5 where 0 is			
uninformative, 5 is very into	imauvej			
0 uninformative	○ 3			
<u> </u>				
<u> </u>	5 very informative			
35. How might the statemen	nts be made more useful?			

Monitoring the Application of the Principles
36. As part of this review, the BPP Group intends to introduce an independent element
nto the monitoring arrangements. Which of the following features should be part of the
arrangements for monitoring the implementation and impact of the Principles? [tick all
:hat apply]
Oversight body including members independent of the sector
Surveys of market participants
Third party certification of how the Principles have been implemented by signatories
Other (please specify)

37. If you have specific sug	gestions for how the Principles should be monitored,	
please provide details		
38. Have you ever used the	e complaints procedure to complain about a breach of the	
Principles (see BPPG webs	site here) [Yes/No]	
\$		
	re you with how your complaint was handled? [Scale 0-5	
39. If yes, how satisfied were where 0 is not at all satisfied	-	
•	-	
where 0 is not at all satisfied	d, 5 is very satisfied]	
where 0 is not at all satisfied	d, 5 is very satisfied]	
where 0 is not at all satisfied 0 not at all satisfied 1	d, 5 is very satisfied]	
where 0 is not at all satisfied 0 not at all satisfied 1 2	d, 5 is very satisfied]	
where 0 is not at all satisfied 0 not at all satisfied 1 2	d, 5 is very satisfied]	

Signing-Up Process
40. The process of signing up to the Principles is being looked at as part of this review. Other than a commitment to apply and report on the Principles and to be subject to the monitoring arrangements, are there other criteria that service providers should have to meet in order to be accepted as signatories? [Yes/No]
41. If yes, please specify

Other comments	
42. If there are any additional comments you w	ould like to make as part of this
consultation, please do so here:	

nk you for completing the su ponses.	rvey. Please click done to	o submit your	